

**Village of Montgomery
Stormwater Management
Program Plan**

**Kane/Kendall Counties, Illinois
February 2019**

Table of Contents

1	<i>Overview of the Stormwater Management Program Plan</i>	1
2	<i>Program Management</i>	2
3	<i>The Program</i>	3-8
3.1	Public Education and Outreach	3
3.1A	Measurable Goals	3
3.2	Public Participation and Involvement	3
3.2A	Measurable Goals	4
3.3	Construction Site Run-off Control	4
3.3A	Complaints	5
3.3B	Measurable Goals	5
3.4	Post Construction Runoff Control	5
3.4A	Measurable Goals	6
3.5	Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination	6
3.5A	Measurable Goals	7
3.6	Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping	7
3.6A	Measurable Goals	8
4	<i>Program Management and Evaluation</i>	9
5	<i>Appendices</i>	10
5.1	Inquiry Log	
5.2	ESC Forms	
5.3	Notice of Violation	
5.4	Post Construction Stormwater Management Inspection	
5.5	Illicit Discharge Tracking Form	

1 Overview of the Stormwater Management Program Plan

This Stormwater Management Program Plan (SMPP) was developed by the Village of Montgomery as part of an effort to comply with the requirements of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Phase II program for Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s) and their discharges. The development, implementation, and enforcement of this plan will serve to reduce pollutant discharge into receiving waters, which will help protect the overall water quality to the “maximum extent possible” as required by the General NPDES Permit No. ILR40.

The stormwater management program plan is comprised of six (6) control measures to help meet the Permit requirements:

- Public Education and Outreach
- Public Participation/Involvement
- Construction Site Runoff Control
- Post-Construction Runoff Control
- Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
- Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping

The Village of Montgomery implements a range of Best Management Practices (BMPs) to reduce the discharge of pollutants within stormwater runoff. These BMPs are categorized based on which control measure they pertain to and are organized as such in this report. They are taken directly from the Village’s Notice of Intent (NOI) for the General Permit for discharges from the Village’s MS4.

2 Program Management

Community Development and Public Works will work together to implement this report.

Records should be kept by both departments on all SMPP tasks and action items completed throughout the year. These combined records will be used to show the Village of Montgomery's compliance with the SMPP.

The Village of Montgomery will submit annual reports to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), describing their status of compliance with the ILR40 permit. These annual reports should include descriptions of any changes that have been made to required action items under each of the six control measures and any other changes to the SMPP. The annual reports also should be used to show the Village's compliance with the goals of the program.

3 The Program

3.1 Public Education and Outreach

The Village of Montgomery publishes a bi-monthly newsletter that gets sent out to all Village residents and features regular articles on the topics of stormwater management and pollution control.

The MS4 Stormwater Program and annual NPDES permit report should be put on the agenda for discussion once per year at either a Village Board meeting or a Committee of the Whole meeting. The meeting discussion should be open to public comment.

3.1A Measurable Goals

- Place the Stormwater Management Program Plan on the Village’s website.
- Add an environmental and stormwater management section to the Village Hall information center.
- Announce the information center on the website and the hours that it will be open to the public.

3.2 Public Participation and Involvement

Encourage the public to attend Village Board meetings and provide input on the Village’s SMPP or ask any questions they have regarding environmental and stormwater related issues. Residents should be informed that they may contact the Village via phone or email with any additional input or questions they think of outside of these meetings. All such questions should be documented as well as how the inquiries were handled and answered.

An annual public meeting should be held for the sole purpose of answering questions on environmental and stormwater related issues. This meeting can be held in conjunction with or on the same night as a Village Board meeting of Committee of the Whole meeting.

The Village should investigate whether there are any Environmental Justice Areas (EJAs) within Village limits. If any are present, a strategy should be implemented to “leverage EPA’s resources” to these areas in the manner prescribed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency’s (USEPA) memorandum on Environmental Justice and Community Revitalization Priorities.

Public participation in stormwater related issues should be encouraged on individual and community levels. The Village should be open to providing resources and publicity for such initiatives if the cause is found to be worthy and the participants seek the help.

3.2A Measurable Goals

- Document all environmental and stormwater related questions from the public in the *Inquiry Log* form (Appendix 5.1).
- Identify any EJAs within Village limits and develop and implement a strategy for these areas in adherence with the guidelines for community revitalization outlined in the USEPA memo.
- Document meeting minutes for annual public stormwater meetings.
- Based on concerns raised at meetings, investigate action items regarding stormwater management and pollution prevention issues.

3.3 Construction Site Runoff Control

The Village of Montgomery adopted the Kane County Stormwater Management Ordinance and is enforcing it within Village limits to control construction site runoff within the Village.

Construction waste problems and concrete truck washout pollution are controlled through other Village ordinances.

Effective runoff control needs to be implemented at all construction sites. To keep these sites accountable, erosion and sediment control (ESC) inspections should be conducted on a weekly basis for all construction sites within Village limits. Alternatively, ESC inspections can be done as infrequently as every two weeks under the condition that they are also done within 24 hours of all storm events of 0.25 inches or greater or anytime runoff from snowmelt causes a discharge.

Controls that need to be inspected during ESC site visits include but are not necessarily limited to the following:

- Perimeter controls (should be in good condition and properly erected at all planned locations)
- Inlet filters (all inlets connecting to a storm sewer system need properly functioning filters intact)
- Construction Entrances/Exits (should be preventing mud track-out onto adjacent Village roads)
- Concrete Wash-Out
- Stabilization of topsoil stockpile locations, detention basins, excavation locations, and other mass grading locations

A field report should be generated within 24 hours of each ESC inspection. The field report should provide a description of how each control is holding up and whether there are any violations. Photographs of any violations noticed during the site visits should be included in the report. Any control that is missing or needs repair should be reported to the contractor. The follow-up inspection date should be given to the contractor, by which point all repairs need to be made. The NPDES Construction General Permit Inspection

Report Template – Field Version has *ESC Forms* (Appendix 5.2) that can be used as a guideline for field reports.

If the violations have not been addressed by the follow-up site visit, a *Notice of Violation* form (Appendix 5.3) can be filled out and given to the contractor. This form has a section where each violation is to be listed, and it also has language which warns of the impending consequences of not addressing the violations and making the necessary repairs. The inspector should use judgement to determine if it's appropriate to give out a *Notice of Violation*. Depending on the severity of the violation(s) and the level of effort the contractor has been making to address reported problems, the inspector can hold off on giving out this form.

3.3A Complaints

All complaints regarding construction site runoff control or environmental issues caused by the site during construction should be directed to the Village. The Village should document the complaint and have a site inspection performed.

3.3B Measurable Goals

- Keep a record of all ESC inspections conducted at construction sites within Village limits.
- Keep a record of all Notices of Violation given out at construction sites within Village limits. Document what corrective actions are taken and whether the Village has to invoke any penalties for repeated violations.
- Document all complaints regarding construction site runoff control and the follow-up actions taken to address the complaint.

3.4 Post Construction Runoff Control

The Village of Montgomery adopted the Kane County Stormwater Management Ordinance and is enforcing it within Village limits to control post construction runoff within the Village.

After construction is complete, all facilities with stormwater BMP's should be inspected periodically to confirm that the BMP's are still functioning properly. The Village should conduct inspections at 10% of these developments annually, so that over a 10-year period all facilities will have had an inspection. Whenever an additional inspection is requested or a complaint is generated about runoff control at a post-construction site, the Village should conduct an inspection within 10 working days of the complaint or inspection request, even if the site wasn't scheduled for an inspection that year. When an inspection is conducted at a site because of a complaint or a request, that site's scheduled 10-year inspection can be set back, with the requested inspection counting as the facility's 10-year inspection for that decade. The *Post Construction Stormwater Management Inspection* form (Appendix 5.4) should be filled out and used as the template for all post-construction inspections. This form prioritizes the inspection of stormwater/detention

basins and their related appurtenances and structures, but there is also a section for comment on other BMP's that may be present at sites within Village limits.

Upon completion of an inspection, the property owner should be notified of the findings and any remediation activities that will need to be accomplished. Follow-up inspections may need to be scheduled to check on the progress and completion of these activities. This will depend on the judgement of the inspector.

3.4A Measurable Goals

- Create a 10-year inspection schedule for all existing developments that have stormwater management facilities and are within Village limits.
- Track all new developments that have stormwater management facilities and are within Village limits and add them to the 10-year inspection schedule.
- File all *Post Construction Stormwater Management Inspection* forms and any subsequent follow-up notes.

3.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

A major component of protecting water quality is a strong, coordinated Village effort to prohibit and prevent illicit discharges from entering the Village's receiving waters. Illicit discharges can occur through non-stormwater runoff from contaminated sites or by the intentional dumping or discharge of non-stormwater into the stormwater system.

The Village of Montgomery has ordinances in place that prohibit illicit discharges. These ordinances, described below, achieve compliance with enforcement and penalty provisions:

- Chapter 20, Article I, section 20.5 of the Village Municipal Code prohibits the discharge of polluting substances into stormwater drainage systems within Village limits.
- Chapter 20, Article IV of the Village Municipal Code regulates the discharge of industrial wastes.

The public should be encouraged to report any illicit discharge sightings to the Village, and Public Works employees are required to report them as well. A designated Village employee or representative should visit the location of the reported discharge and fill out the *Illicit Discharge Tracking Form* (Appendix 5.5) with their observations regarding the discharge.

A file should be kept of all *Illicit Discharge Tracking Forms*, and records should also be kept of all follow-up actions performed by the Village in response to these discharges. Anytime a problematic discharge is confirmed to be present in a receiving water within the Village, a process needs to be implemented to determine the source of this discharge. Once the source has been determined, the Village needs to inform the owner of the problem and require them to take corrective action. Failure by the owner to comply and take corrective action can lead to penalties being invoked against them. After corrective

actions have been taken at the source of the discharge, more lab samples should be pulled at the first manhole downstream of the source to confirm that the illicit discharge has ceased.

To pinpoint the source of the illicit discharge, samples should be taken for laboratory testing at the first manhole downstream of the suspected source. If the results of the sample come back positive, then the suspected source is confirmed. If the results are negative, the suspected source is not confirmed, and samples should be taken at the first manhole downstream of a different suspect source. This process should be repeated until positive results are obtained and a suspect source can be confirmed.

The Village's storm sewer mapping system should be updated to reflect the location and frequency of illicit discharge sightings. The Village's mapping system provides the locations of storm sewers throughout the Village, and identifies all inlets, catch basins, and discharge points to receiving waters, as well as the sizes of Village storm sewers. By updating the mapping system to reflect the illicit discharge locations, it can become easier to detect discharge patterns and predict where future problems may be or how the system is likely to be affected.

3.5A Measurable Goals

- Investigate all illicit discharge sightings that are reported, and track them on the *Illicit Discharge Tracking Forms*.
- File all *Illicit Discharge Tracking Forms* and update the Village's storm sewer mapping system with data on illicit discharge sighting locations and frequencies.
- Keep records of follow up with individuals and corporations causing the illicit discharges, including corrective actions they took and any penalties that the Village had to invoke.

3.6 Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping

The Village of Montgomery has their vehicles and equipment inspected regularly for preventative maintenance. Used motor oil, fluids, batteries, tires, etc. are all recycled.

To minimize pollutant runoff from streets, the Village performs street cleaning operations. Indoor storing of salt is required to prevent salt runoff into the stormwater system. Regular calibration of salt spreaders is conducted to ensure maximum effectiveness of salt spreaders.

Training should be provided for new Village of Montgomery Public Works employees in the areas of pollution prevention and good housekeeping. Annual training in pollution prevention and good housekeeping should be provided for all Public Works employees.

3.6A Measurable Goals

- Keep records on amount of salt used and total distance of streets swept annually.
- Keep vehicle and equipment maintenance records.
- Keep records of all employee training sessions completed.

4 Program Management and Evaluation

The Village of Montgomery should select responsible employees with management experience to become “Project Managers” for the SMPP. These project managers will be responsible for overlooking the program and meeting to discuss changes that should be made to the program. Additionally, program managers should provide training programs to Village employees and evaluate the sufficiency and execution of the program on an annual basis.

Management and staff should meet annually to discuss the incorporation of stormwater management practices into Village maintenance activities. Discussions should also be held about how stormwater management practices are being incorporated in the planning, design, and construction of Village projects.

5 Appendices

VILLAGE OF MONTGOMERY, IL

Year: _____

LOG OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND STORMWATER RELATED INQUIRIES

Date	Inquiry Type	What was asked or what input was given? (Provide direct quote or paraphrase.)	Who answered?	What was the response? What action items, if any, were established based on the concern?

INQUIRY TYPES

C = call in

W = walk in

M = meeting

E = email or written request

N/A = not applicable

General Information
(see reverse for instructions)

Name of Project		NPDES ID No.		Inspection Date	
Weather conditions during inspection		Inspection start time		Inspection end time	

Inspector Name, Title & Contact Information

Present Phase of Construction

Inspection Location (if multiple inspections are required, specify location where this inspection is being conducted)

Inspection Frequency *(Note: you may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site. Check all that apply)*

Standard Frequency:

Every 7 days

Every 14 days and within 24 hours of a 0.25" rain or the occurrence of runoff from snowmelt sufficient to cause a discharge

Increased Frequency:

Every 7 days and within 24 hours of a 0.25" rain (for areas of sites discharging to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3)

Reduced Frequency:

Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month; (for stabilized areas)

Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of a 0.25" rain (for stabilized areas on "linear construction sites")

Once per month and within 24 hours of a 0.25" rain (for arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought)

Once per month (for frozen conditions where earth-disturbing activities are being conducted)

Was this inspection triggered by a 0.25" storm event? Yes No

If yes, how did you determined whether a 0.25" storm event has occurred?

Rain gauge on site Weather station representative of site. Specify weather station source:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (in inches):

Was this inspection triggered by the occurrence of runoff from snowmelt sufficient to cause a discharge? Yes No

Unsafe Conditions for Inspection

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? Yes No

If "yes", complete the following:

- Describe the conditions that prevented you from conducting the inspection in this location:

- Location(s) where conditions were found:

Instructions for Filling Out “General Information” Section

Name of Project

Enter the name for the project.

NPDES ID No.

Enter the NPDES ID number that was assigned to your NOI for permit coverage.

Inspection Date

Enter the date you conducted the inspection.

Weather Conditions During Inspection

Enter the weather conditions occurring during the inspection, e.g., sunny, overcast, light rain, heavy rain, snowing, icy, windy.

Inspection start and end times

Enter the time you started and ended the inspection.

Inspector Name, Title & Contact Information

Provide the name of the person(s) (either a member of your company’s staff or a contractor or subcontractor) that conducted this inspection. Provide the inspector’s name, title, and contact information as directed in the form.

Present Phase of Construction

If this project is being completed in more than one phase, indicate which phase it is currently in.

Inspection Location

If your project has multiple locations where you conduct separate inspections, specify the location where this inspection is being conducted. If only one inspection is conducted for your entire project, enter “Entire Site.” If necessary, complete additional inspection report forms for each separate inspection location.

Inspection Frequency

Check the box that describes the inspection frequency that applies to you. Note that you may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of your site. If your project does not discharge to a “sensitive water” (i.e., a water impaired for sediment or nutrients, or listed as Tier 2, 2.5, or 3 by your state or tribe) and you are not affected by any of the circumstances described in CGP Part 4.4, then you can choose your frequency based on CGP Part 4.2 – either every 7 calendar days, or every 14 calendar days and within 24 hours of a 0.25-inch storm event. For any portion of your site that discharges to a sensitive water, your inspection frequency for that area is fixed under CGP Part 4.3 at every 7calendar days and within 24 hours of a 0.25-inch storm event. If portions of your site are stabilized, are located in arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas, or are subject to frozen conditions, consult CGP Part 4.4 for the applicable inspection frequency. Check all the inspection frequencies that apply to your project.

Was This Inspection Triggered by a 0.25 Inch Storm Event or the occurrence of runoff from snowmelt sufficient to cause a discharge?

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a 0.25-inch (or greater) rain event, indicate whether you relied on an on-site rain gauge or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of rainfall for this specific storm event. If you were required to conduct this inspection because of the occurrence of runoff from snowmelt, then check the appropriate box.

Unsafe Conditions for Inspection

Inspections are not required where a portion of the site or the entire site is subject to unsafe conditions. See CGP Part 4.5. These conditions should not regularly occur, and should not be consistently present on a site. Generally, unsafe conditions are those that render the site (or a portion of it) inaccessible or that would pose a significant probability of injury to applicable personnel. Examples could include severe storm or flood conditions, high winds, and downed electrical wires.

If your site, or a portion of it, is affected by unsafe conditions during the time of your inspection, provide a description of the conditions that prevented you from conducting the inspection and what parts of the site were affected. If the entire site was considered unsafe, specify the location as “Entire site”

Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2)

(see reverse for instructions)

Type/Location of E&S Control [Add an additional sheet if necessary]	Maintenance Needed?*	Corrective Action Required?*	Date on Which Maintenance or Corrective Action First Identified?	Notes
1.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
2.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
3.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
4.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
5.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
6.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
7.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
8.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
9.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
10.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		

* **Note:** The permit differentiates between conditions requiring routine maintenance, and those requiring corrective action. The permit requires maintenance in order to keep controls in effective operating condition. Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions, which include: 1) A stormwater control needs repair or replacement (beyond routine maintenance) if it is not operating as intended; 2) A stormwater control necessary to comply with the permit was never installed or was installed incorrectly; 3) You become aware that the stormwater controls you have installed and are maintaining are not effective enough for the discharge to meet applicable water quality standards or applicable requirements in Part 3.1; 4) One of the prohibited discharges in Part 1.3 is occurring or has occurred; or 5) EPA requires corrective actions as a result of a permit violation found during an inspection carried out under Part 4.8. If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action form found at <https://www.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater-discharges-construction-activities#resources>. See Part 5 of the permit for more information.

Instructions for Filling Out the “Erosion and Sediment Control” Table

Type and Location of E&S Controls

Provide a list of all erosion and sediment (E&S) controls that your SWPPP indicates will be installed and implemented at your site. This list must include at a minimum all E&S controls required by CGP Part 2.2. Include also any natural buffers established under CGP Part 2.2.1. Buffer requirements apply if your project’s earth-disturbing activities will occur within 50 feet of a water of the U.S. You may group your E&S controls on your form if you have several of the same type of controls (e.g., you may group “Inlet Protection Measures”, “Perimeter Controls”, and “Stockpile Controls” together on one line), but if there are any problems with a specific control, you must separately identify the location of the control, whether maintenance or corrective action is necessary, and in the notes section you must describe the specifics about the problem you observed.

Maintenance Needed?

Answer “yes” if the E&S control requires maintenance due to normal wear and tear in order for the control to continue operating effectively. At a minimum, maintenance is required in the following specific instances: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.a); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out onto the surface of off-site streets or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f). Note: In many cases, “yes” answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer “yes” if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection.

Corrective Action Needed?

Answer “yes” if during your inspection you found any of the following conditions to be present (CGP, Part 5.1): (1) a required E&S control needs repair or replacement (beyond routine maintenance required under Part 2.1.4); (2) a required E&S control was never installed or was installed incorrectly; (3) you become aware that the inadequacy of the E&S control has led to an exceedance of an applicable water quality standard; (4) one of the prohibited discharges in Part 1.3 is occurring or has occurred; or (5) EPA requires corrective action for an E&S control as a result of a permit violation found during an inspection carried out under Part 4.8. If you answer “yes”, you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action report, found at <https://www.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater-discharges-construction-activities#resources>. Note: You should answer “yes” if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing.

Date on Which Maintenance or Corrective Action First Identified?

Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition’s discovery.

Notes

For each E&S control and the area immediately surrounding it, note whether the control is properly installed and whether it appears to be working to minimize sediment discharge. Describe any problem conditions you observed such as the following, and why you think they occurred as well as actions (e.g., maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required E&S control
2. Damage or destruction to an E&S control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, a storm event, or other event
3. Mud or sediment deposits found downslope from E&S controls
4. Sediment tracked out onto paved areas by vehicles leaving construction site
5. Noticeable erosion at discharge outlets or at adjacent streambanks or channels
6. Erosion of the site’s sloped areas (e.g., formation of rills or gullies)
7. E&S control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance

For buffer areas, make note of whether they are marked off as required, whether there are signs of construction disturbance within the buffer, which is prohibited under the CGP, and whether there are visible signs of erosion resulting from discharges through the area.

If maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If maintenance or corrective action have been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action report describing the condition and your work to fix the problem.*

Village of Montgomery, IL

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Date:

Address:

Project:

Contractor:

Violation Issued by:

The following violations were observed during the Erosion and Sediment Control site inspection:

- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

All violations listed above must be addressed immediately and corrected within five (5) working days. Failure to do so may result in a Stop Work Order to be issued by the Village and/or other penalties to be invoked. Call the Village's inspector to schedule a re-inspection or ask any questions about the process.

Sincerely,

XX

**POST CONSTRUCTION
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT INSPECTION LIST**

Stormwater/Detention Basin

- | | | |
|--|-----|----|
| ▪ Basin clear of trash, debris, and undesired sediment build-up? | YES | NO |
|--|-----|----|

- | | | |
|---|-----|----|
| ▪ Plant growth fully established as scheduled on landscape plan with no exposed, erodible soil? | YES | NO |
|---|-----|----|

If no, what is preventing growth? (Check all that apply)

 - Weed growth choking out desired plant
 - Adverse weather conditions such as drought, flooding, or extreme temperatures?
 - Improper seeding and establishment at time of development
 - Other: _____
 - _____
 - _____

- | | | |
|--|-----|----|
| ▪ Water entering and exiting detention basin in designed manner? | YES | NO |
|--|-----|----|

- | | | |
|---|-----|----|
| ▪ Storm structures, inflow/outflow control structures, rip-rap, and all other basin related appurtenances in good, working condition? | YES | NO |
|---|-----|----|

- | | | |
|---|-----|----|
| ▪ Erosion successfully prevented along basin side slopes, near basin water levels, and at all basin inflow/outflow points including along adjacent property outflows? | YES | NO |
|---|-----|----|

- | | | |
|--|-----|----|
| ▪ Stagnant water in/along basin leading to unpleasant odors, algae growth, or breeding ground for mosquitos/pests? | YES | NO |
|--|-----|----|

- | | | |
|---|-----|----|
| ▪ Does the detention basin storage volume appear to be changed from the as-built plan volume? | YES | NO |
|---|-----|----|

- | | | |
|--|-----|----|
| ▪ Have the basin elevations, functions, or geometry visually changed from what was shown on the as-built plan? | YES | NO |
|--|-----|----|

If yes, what has changed? (Check all that apply)

 - Side slope elevations changed from erosion, other causes
 - Intentional modifications to shape, size, function, etc.
 - Undesired alterations of overland flow routes, elevations, and basin functions
 - Other: _____
 - _____
 - _____

- | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----|----|
| ▪ REQUIRED REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES: | YES | NO |
|------------------------------------|-----|----|

 -
 -
 -
 -

- | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|----|
| ▪ FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION NEEDED? | YES | NO |
|--------------------------------|-----|----|

**POST CONSTRUCTION
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT INSPECTION LIST**

Other Stormwater Best Management Practices

- Inspection Notes: _____

- REQUIRED REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES: YES NO
 -
 -
 -
 -

- FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION NEEDED? YES NO

Other Stormwater Best Management Practices

- Inspection Notes: _____

- REQUIRED REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES: YES NO
 -
 -
 -
 -

- FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION NEEDED? YES NO

ILLICIT DISCHARGE TRACKING FORM – PART I

Part I: Illicit Discharge Sighting and Reporting Details

Time

Date/Time of Sighting:

Date/Time Reported:

Sighted/Reported by:

Location

Address where the sighting occurred or a nearby address:

Classification of Outfall or Receiving Water that Discharge was found in:

Description of location and how to find it:

ILLICIT DISCHARGE TRACKING FORM – PART II

Part II: Village Observations at Discharge Location

Inspection Notes

Date/Time of Inspection:

Precipitation Totals in 48 hours before Inspection:

Classification of Outfall or Receiving Water that Discharge is in:

Location Description and Notes:

Visual Observations

Appearance of Flow (Normal, Cloudy, Other):

Any objects, debris, etc. floating on/in discharge:

Further Observations

Describe any odors from flow:

Describe any additional observations:

ILLICIT DISCHARGE TRACKING FORM – PART III

Part III: Identification of Pollutant Source and Follow-Up

Suspected Violator:

Describe All Follow-Up Actions Taken (Confirmed Violator, Inspection at Source Site, Corrective Actions taken by Violator, Penalties Invoked):

